

Interview Summary

## Application No. 09/360,805

Applicans

Clum et al.

Michael G. Hartley

Group Art Unit 1619

	mondo. C
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO perso	nnel):
11) Michael G. Hartley	(3) Andrea Colby (Appl. Counsel)
(2) Mark DeLuca (Appl. Counsel)	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 5, 2001	
	olicant 🛛 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes   No.	If yes, brief description:
The second of th	
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: All pending 16-63.	•
Identification of prior art discussed:  NONE	
·	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above	
is also checked.  Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attac	MICHAEL G. HARTLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form divisor to an account	